

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Effects of single or combined feeding with Bacillus subtilis and organic acid mixture on egg production, physiological responses, and cholesterol level in chicken eggs

J.M. Park¹, B.K. An^{2,*}, T.S. Yang³ and K.E. Kim⁴

¹ Konkuk University, Department of Food marketing and Safety, 05029 Seoul, Republic of Korea ² Konkuk University, Animal Resources Research Center, 05029 Seoul, Republic of Korea ³ Konkuk University, Department of Animal Science and Technology, 05029 Seoul, Republic of Korea ⁴ Nonghyup Feed, R&D center, 05398 Seoul, Republic of Korea

KEY WORDS: egg production, laying hens, organic acid, probiotics, yolk cholesterol

Received: 21 February 2024 Revised: Accepted:

8 March 2024 9 March 2024

* Corresponding author: e-mail: abk7227@hanmail.net ABSTRACT. The objective of this study was to evaluate the nutritional effects of single or combined feeding with Bacillus subtilis and a mixture of organic acids on egg production, intestinal ammonia concentration, ceacal microflora profile, and individual lipid fractions in chicken egg yolk. Two doses of B. subtilis were administered separately or together with an organic acid mixture composed of formic acid, fumaric acid, and sorbic acid. A total of 250 43-week-old Hy-Line Brown layers were divided into 5 groups with 5 replicates per group, and fed one of five experimental diets (control, maize-soybean meal-based diet without B. subtilis or organic acids; T1 – B. subtilis 1.0 × 10¹⁰ CFU/kg diet; T2 - B. subtilis 1.0 × 10¹⁰ ČFU/kg diet + 0.15% organic acids; T3 - B. subtilis 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet; and T4 – B. subtilis 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% organic acids) for 8 weeks. Egg production and daily egg mass showed a raising trend or increased significantly in the group fed B. subtilis alone and the B. subtilis + organic acids combined groups, respectively. Additionally, the average eggshell strength in the treated groups was significantly higher compared to the control group. The abundance of ceacal lactic acid bacteria in the treated groups was significantly higher compared to the control group. The cholesterol content in egg yolk in all treated groups was significantly decreased compared to the control group. Overall, feeding B. subtilis exerted desirable effects on increasing egg production and eggshell quality, as well as modulating the ceacal microbial profile regardless of co-supplementation with organic acids.

Introduction

Modern commercial laying hens are known for their exceptionally high egg production potential, and ensuring optimal nutrition is essential to maintain high laying performance and the highest egg quality (Hy-Line International, 2018). Feed additives play a crucial role in this regard, as they are incorporated into feed formulations to enhance food utilisation efficiency, promote acceptance, and improve overall performance and health status (Świątkiewicz et al., 2013). With the prohibition of antibiotics in poultry production, alternatives have been sought and incorporated into poultry feeds to regulate the intestinal microbial flora and avoid certain intestinal pathologies (Yaqoob et al., 2022). Probiotics and organic acids have also been applied as alternative feeding strategies to enhance poultry

productivity and health (Hag et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2022).

Probiotics are live microorganisms added to feeds that exert beneficial effects in the gastrointestinal tract of chickens (Xu et al., 2006; Ahmad et al., 2022). Probiotics have been reported to improve intestinal microflora, immune response, and disease resistance (Rajput et al., 2013; Grant et al., 2018). Bacillus subtilis is one of most widely used bacterial strain as a probiotic in poultry. Studies have shown that feeding B. subtilis can increase egg production (Neijat et al., 2019) and improve intestinal microbiota profiles in laying hens (Zhang et al., 2021). Organic acids (OM) have long been utilised for feed preservation, as additives enhancing growth and feed efficiency, and in chick protection through competitive exclusion (Muleta, 2021). They play a crucial role in improving the intestinal health of poultry and exhibit antimicrobial properties (Khan and Igbal, 2016).

Probiotics and organic acids have pivotal functions in promoting intestinal health and immune regulation. Many studies using broiler chickens have demonstrated the effects of these two feed additives on growth performance and physiological responses (Ahmad et al., 2022). However, only limited information is available regarding their effects on egg production and egg quality in laying hens when used alone or in combination. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the dietary effects of probiotics administered alone or together with an organic acid mixture on laying performance, egg quality, intestinal ammonia concentrations, ceacal microflora profile, and lipid fractions in chicken eggs.

Material and methods

The laying hens were housed and feeds were prepared at the Konkuk University animal farm, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Konkuk University (KU18136).

Animals, diets, and management

The study utilised *B. subtilis* strain BS1010 isolated from traditional soy sauce (BS; 1.0×10^{10} CFU/g; BS1010, ACC Inc. Seongnam-si, Gyeonggido, Republic of Korea). A mixture of OM used in study consisted of 25% formic acid, 10% fumaric acid, and 10% sorbic acid (ACC Inc. Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea). A total of 250 43-week-old Hy-Line brown layers were randomly assigned to five groups (50 layers per group) to ensure similar egg production across all groups.

The layers were housed in a commercially designed caged layer house with water and feed provided ad libitum. The control group was fed a maize-soybean meal-based diet without BS or OM. The four experimental groups were fed diets containing two different doses of BS $(1.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ CFU/kg or } 2.0 \times 10^{10}$ CFU/kg diet) alone or a combination of these doses and 0.15% OM (T1, BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet; T2, BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM; T3, BS 2.0 \times 10¹⁰ CFU/kg diet; T4, BS 2.0 \times 10¹⁰ CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM) for eight weeks. The basal diet was formulated to meet and exceed the nutritional requirements provided by the National Research Council (1994). The formulation and chemical compositions of the basal diets are outlined in Table 1. Fresh diets were provided daily, and the feed intake of each replicate was recorded weekly. Room temperature was maintained at 22 ± 3 °C, with artificial lighting provided for 15 h each day throughout the experimental period.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental diets

Ingredients, %				
Yellow maize	57.91			
Soybean meal	22.91			
Wheat bran	1.54			
Corn gluten meal	4.73			
Tallow	1.50			
DL-methionine (99%)	0.07			
Choline chloride (50%)	0.13			
Dicalcium phosphate	1.42			
Limestone	9.19			
Salt	0.30			
Vitamin mixture ¹	0.15			
Mineral mixture ¹	0.15			
Total	100.00			
Calculated values				
crude protein, %	18.00			
MEn, kcal/kg	2 812			
methionine + cysteine, %	0.72			
lysine, %	0.86			
Ca, %	3.90			
available P, %	0.35			

 $^{\rm 1}$ provided per kg of diet: IU: vit. A 40 000, vit. D $_{\rm 3}$ 8 000, vit. E 10; mg: vit. K $_{\rm 3}$ 4, vit. B $_{\rm 1}$ 4; vit. B $_{\rm 2}$ 12, vit. B $_{\rm 6}$ 6, vit. B $_{\rm 12}$ 0.02, niacin 60, pantothenic acid 20, folic acid 2, biotin 0.02, Fe 30, Zn 25, Mn 20, Cu 5.0, Se 0.1

Egg production and egg quality

During the experimental period, eggs were collected at a fixed time every day, and their number and weight were measured. Abnormal eggs were J.M. Park et al. 3

excluded from the measurements and recorded on a per-replicate basis. Egg mass was calculated by multiplying the hen-day egg production by the average egg weight.

Egg quality was determined at the end of the experiment. Five eggs from each replicate were collected, weighed and stored overnight at room temperature (20 °C) for subsequent analyses. The shell breaking strength of each collected egg was measured using a DET-6000 digital egg tester (Nabel Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). Eggshell thickness, excluding the shell membrane, was measured using a 547-360 Digimatic micrometer (Mitutoyo, Japan). Egg yolk colour was determined by comparison with a Roche yolk colour fan (Hoffman-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Albumen height was measured using the same DET-6000 digital egg tester (Nabel Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), followed by Haugh unit calculation.

Blood profiles

At the end of the experiment, one or two birds were randomly selected from each replicate, and blood was drawn from the wing vein. Serum was obtained after gentle centrifugation ($2\,000 \times g$ for $15\,\text{min}$). Serum samples were stored at $-20\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ until analysis. Serum total cholesterol levels were measured using a Labospect 008AS automatic blood analyser (Hitachi High-Tech Co., Tokyo, Japan). Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT) and glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT) levels were measured using a colorimetric method. Serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) titre was determined by a single radial immune-diffusion assay using the ELISA Chicken IgG Core kit (Komabiotech Co., Seoul, Korea).

Caecal microbial content and ammonia concentration

The caecal content was aseptically sampled from each bird for microbial testing at the end of the experiment. Caecal digesta homogenates were prepared in sterile phosphate-buffered saline and serially diluted from 10^{-1} to 10^{-7} . These dilutions were subsequently plated on duplicate selective agar media to determine the abundance of the target bacterial strains. The total count of microbes, coliforms, and *Lactobacillus* spp. was determined using plate count agar, MacConkey agar, and MRS agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA), respectively. All plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 to 72 h, and subsequently the grown colonies were counted. The results obtained are presented as base-10 logarithm colony-forming units per gram of caecal di-

gesta. Ammonia concentration in the caecal digesta was measured using the AA0100 ammonia assay kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Yolk lipid fraction contents

The contents of each lipid fraction in the yolks were measured following a previously described method by An et al. (1997) with some modifications. Briefly, total lipids in eggs were extracted using the method of Folch et al. (1957). Subsequently, each lipid fraction was separated by a thin-layer chromatography on silica gel chromatorods using a mixture of hexane, diethyl ether, and formic acid (85:15:0.1, v/v, Sigma-Aldrich St. Louis, MO, USA) as the developing solvent and quantified using a TH-10 TLC/FID latro Scan analyser (Iatron laboratory Inc, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using the GLM procedure implemented in SAS 9.0 software (SAS Institute, 2002) with the cage lot as the experimental unit to assess egg production, egg quality, and yolk lipid fraction contents. Individual layers were considered as units for other criteria. Multiple comparisons between the groups were performed using Tukey's test, and contrast statements for differences between control and treatments were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results

The dietary effects of separate or combined feeding with BS and OM on egg production are presented in Table 2. Overall, dietary supplementation with BS significantly increased egg production, with the exception of group T3. There were no significant differences observed in egg weight and feed intake between the treatment and control groups. Additionally, daily egg mass showed a significant increase when BS was fed alone or in combination with OM compared to the control group. Concurrent feeding with BS and OM did not affect egg production or daily egg mass compared to the groups fed BS alone. Moreover, the relative weights of the liver and spleen were not affected by dietary treatments.

The average eggshell strength was significantly higher in the groups fed BS and OM compared to the control group. There were no significant differences in eggshell thickness and colour, yolk colour, or Haugh units between any of the experimental groups and control (Table 3). No differences were also found between egg and eggshell quality in the groups fed BS alone and the groups fed BS and OM.

118.2

1.87

0.08

1.673

0.058

0.005

Feed intake, g/bird/day

Liver, g/100 g BW

Spleen, g/100 g BW

120.7

1.81

0.09

	Control	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM
Egg production, %	88.8 ^b	91.2ª	92.2ª	90.7 ^{ab}	92.0ª	0.326
Egg weight, g/egg	68.8	69.2	68.7	68.9	68.6	0.136
Daily egg mass	61.2 ^b	63.4ª	63.0ª	62.7ª	62.8ª	0.224

123.6

1.74

0.09

118.4

1.90

0.09

Table 2. Effects of single or combined feeding of probiotics and organic acid mixture on egg production and organ weights in laying hens

	Probability of contrast				
	Control vs. BS	Control vs. BS+OM	BS vs. BS+OM		
Egg production	0.047	0.008	0.127		
Daily egg mass	0.042	0.023	0.342		

BS – Bacillus subtilis, OM – organic acid mixture; Control – basal diet without BS or OM, T1 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM, T3 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T4 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM. SEM – standard error of the mean, BW – body weight. Data are presented as the least square of the mean of five replicates with ten birds per replicate. ^{ab} mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05

Table 3. Effects of single or combined feeding of probiotics and organic acid mixture on egg qualities in laying hens

120.9

1.93

0.09

	Control	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM		
Eggshell strength, kg/cm ²	3.11 ^b	3.29 ^{ab}	3.35ª	3.50ª	3.37ª	0.032		
Eggshell thickness, mm/100	36.34	36.20	36.16	36.73	36.58	0.296		
Eggshell colour	26.58	26.26	26.00	26.76	27.54	0.514		
Yolk colour, RCF	7.43	7.36	7.45	7.35	7.51	0.070		
Haugh unit	92.02	94.46	92.99	92.70	92.79	0.652		
		Probability of contrast						
		Control vs. E	BS	Control vs. BS+OM		BS vs. BS+OM		
Eggshell strength	0.039			0.032		0.374		

BS – Bacillus subtilis, OM – organic acid mixture; Control – basal diet without BS or OM, T1 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM, T3 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T4 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM. SEM – standard error of the mean, RCF – Roche colour fan. Data are presented as the least square of the mean of five replicates with ten birds per replicate. ^{ab} mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05

The abundance of lactic acid bacteria significantly increased (P < 0.05) in the intestine of birds fed BS alone or administered a combination of BS and OM (Table 4). In contrast, the count of coliforms

decreased (P < 0.05) in groups T1 and T3 compared to the control group. Ammonia concentrations were significantly lower in the treated groups compared to the control group. The microbial profiles in the

Table 4. Effects of single or combined feeding of probiotics and organic acid mixture on intestinal microflora abundance and ammonia concentrations in laying hens

	Control	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM
Intestinal microflora						
Total microbes, log CFU/g	6.11	6.66	6.96	6.97	7.00	0.150
Lactic acid bacteria, log CFU/g	7.12 ^b	7.42a	7.60ª	7.64ª	7.47ª	0.082
Coliforms, log CFU/g	5.26ª	4.56 ^b	4.77 ^{ab}	4.36b	4.79 ^{ab}	0.140
Ammonia concentration, µ/ml	2.24a	1.82 ^b	1.64 ^b	1.71 ^b	1.69 ^b	0.106
				Probability of	contrast	
		Control vs.	BS	Control vs.	BS+OM	BS vs. BS+OM
Lactic acid bacteria		0.018		0.027		0.540
Coliforms		0.029		0.048		0.129
Ammonia concentration		0.033		0.047		0.132

BS – Bacillus subtilis, OM – organic acid mixture; Control – basal diet without BS or OM, T1 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM, T3 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T4 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM. SEM – standard error of the mean. Data are presented as the least square of seven birds per treatment. ^{ab} mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05

J.M. Park et al. 5

caecum of commercial laying hens improved significantly after the inclusion of dietary BS, whereas the addition of OM did not have any beneficial effects.

The effects of single or combined supplementation with BS and OM on blood profiles and yolk lipid fractions are shown in Table 5. GOT and GPT activities and total serum cholesterol levels were not affected by dietary treatments. Although serum IgG concentrations in the treated groups tended to be higher than those of the controls, the difference was not significant. Yolk cholesterol levels were significantly lower in the groups with BS supplementation, nevertheless, no significant differences in triacylglycerol and phospholipid levels were detected. There was no difference in yolk cholesterol levels between the groups fed BS alone and animals fed BS and OM in combination.

et al. (2019) reported that diets containing singlestrain or multi-strain probiotics did not significantly increase average egg weight. In contrast, administration of BS at 1 g/kg diet was found to increase egg weight (Abdelqader et al., 2013). Probiotics have a beneficial impact on egg production and egg quality, which is attributed to several mechanisms, including increased nutrient absorption, improved immune function, and the promotion of intestinal health. These effects may help explain the results obtained in the present study (Yaqoob et al., 2022).

Eggshell strength in the treated groups differed significantly compared to the control birds, except for group BS1. However, no differences were found in eggshell quality between the group administered BS alone and those fed BS and OM in combination. Previous studies on probiotic supplementation

Table 5. Effects of single or combined feeding of probiotics and organic acid mixture on blood profiles and yolk lipid fraction contents in laying hen

	Control	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM
Blood profiles						
total cholesterol, mg/dl	127.5	114.6	126.6	114.5	120.4	5.268
GOT, U/I	104.9	102.5	106.9	106.6	102.3	4.824
GPT, U/I	7.6	8.1	8.4	8.1	8.3	0.806
IgG, mg/dl	4.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.1	0.340
Egg yolk						
cholesterol, mg/g	12.93ª	10.77 ^b	11.42 ^b	10.97⁵	11.46 ^b	0.167
triacylglycerol, mg/g	234.1	230.6	241.7	211.5	225.6	12.036
phospholipid, mg/g	143.9	128.4	134.8	129.6	129.5	10.082
		Probability of contrast				
		Control vs. B	S	Control vs. B	S+OM	BS vs. BS+OM
Yolk cholesterol		0.028		0.043		0.097

BS – Bacillus subtilis, OM – organic acid mixture; Control – basal diet without BS or OM, T1 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T2 – BS 1.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM, T3 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet, T4 – BS 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet + 0.15% OM. GOT – glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, GPT – glutamic pyruvic transaminase, IgG – immunoglobulin G, SEM – standard error of the mean SEM – standard error of the mean. Data are presented as the least square of seven birds per treatment. ^{ab} mean values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05

Discussion

Probiotic supplementation of laying hens' diets improved production performance either by increasing egg number or sustaining egg production. Yörük et al. (2004) showed that the addition of multi-strain probiotics composed of *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Enterococcus* spp. at 0.1% or 0.05% resulted in significantly better egg production compared to controls, but did not affect feed intake. Similarly, Xu et al. (2006) found that feeding dried BS caused significant positive effects on egg production and feed efficiency. Conflicting results have been previously reported regarding the nutritional influence of probiotics on egg weight and egg mass. Studies by Haddadin et al. (1996) and Xiang

that used different strains and inclusion levels, and potentially other factors, have also reported varied results. For instance, feeding dried BS was shown to significantly improve internal and external egg qualities (Xu et al., 2006), while dietary probiotic addition at 0.5 g/kg resulted in a significant reduction in the number of damaged eggs (Balevi et al., 2001). However, despite many positive findings concerning eggshell quality, Haddadin et al. (1996) found that dietary Lactobacillus acidophilus exerted no significant effect on eggshell thickness. Organic acids can be used as an important additive improving mineral absorption and calcium availability in the gut, thereby contributing to improved eggshell quality (Soltan, 2008). However, contrary to expectations, some studies on the use of organic acids in

layers' diets have reported results that do not align with this hypothesis (Światkiewicz et al., 2013). In the present study, OM did not show any additional effects when fed together with BS. Further studies are needed to clarify the effects of separately applied OM on laying performance and egg quality.

Feeding probiotics has been consistently associated with positive changes in intestinal microflora profiles. Mountzouris et al. (2007) observed that broiler chickens fed multi-strain probiotics showed higher abundance of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus spp. in their caecal microflora. Similarly, Watkins and Kratzer (1983) reported a significant decrease in caecal coliform bacterial counts in chicks fed a diet containing Lactobacillus. Moreover, supplementation with BS alone or in combination with Saccharomyces boulardii exerted beneficial effects on intestinal microflora and gut histology in broiler chickens (Rajput et al., 2013). Consistent improvements in gut microflora modulation and resulting metabolite production in chicks fed Bacillus spp. were also reported by Abdelqader et al. (2013) and Grant et al. (2018). The significant reduction in intestinal ammonia levels as a result of BS supplementation might be partly attributed to improved nitrogen availability and protein digestibility (Table 4). Our findings are consistent with those obtained in another study utilising BS cultures (Santoso et al., 1999).

In the current study, yolk cholesterol levels were significantly lower in groups fed diets with BS, although no significant differences in triacylglycerol and phospholipid concentrations were recorded. This finding is consistent with previous reports demonstrating significant decreases in yolk cholesterol levels as a result of inclusion of dietary probiotics (Mátéová et al., 2009). Haddadin et al. (1996) reported a decrease of 18.8% in yolk cholesterol concentrations when laying hens were fed Lactobacillus for 48 weeks. Similarly, Mikulski et al. (2012) observed a reduction of more than 10% in egg yolk cholesterol level in birds fed probiotics. In the present study, feeding BS alone or in combination with OM reduced yolk cholesterol levels from 12.8 to 17.9%. Egg yolk cholesterol is mainly derived from cholesterol synthesised in the liver. Both hepatic cholesterol production and endogenous triacylglycerol-rich lipoprotein levels have important functions in yolk cholesterol deposition (Huang et al., 2019). Dietary lactic acid bacteria have been previously shown to significantly reduce yolk cholesterol levels, which was associated with lower hepatic cholesterol synthesis through the regulation of key enzyme pathways (Deng et al., 2020). The reduction in cholesterol levels induced by probiotics may be due to the modulation of lipid metabolism, cholesterol assembly into lipoproteins, and its incorporation into developing oocytes. These possibilities need to be elucidated through further research.

Conclusions

Overall, the inclusion of *Bacillus subtilis* obtained from traditional soy sauce, either alone or in combination with an organic acid mixture (OM), yielded favorable outcomes, including increased egg production and eggshell quality, along with a reduction in yolk cholesterol levels. In addition, the incorporation of BS at levels up to 2.0×10^{10} CFU/kg diet did not negatively affect target physiological parameters and positively modulated caecal microbial profiles, indicating its safe application in commercial laying hen breeding. However, OM did not exert any additional effects when administered in combination with *B. subtilis*.

Conflict of interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdelqader A., Irshaid R., Al-Fataftah., A.R., 2013. Effects of dietary probiotics inclusion on performance, eggshell quality, cecal microflora composition, and tibia traits of laying hens in the late phase of production. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 45, 1017–1024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-012-0326-7
- Ahmad R., Yu Y.-H., Hsiao F.S., Dybus A., Ali I., Hsu H.-C., Cheng Y.-H., 2022. Probiotics as friendly antibiotic alternative: Assessment of their effects on the health and productive performance of poultry. Fermentation 8, 672, https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8120672
- An B.K., Nishiyama H., Tanaka K., Ohtani S., Iwata T., Tsutsumi K., Kasai M., 1997. Dietary safflower phospholipid reduces liver lipids in laying hens. Poultry Sci. 76, 689–695, https://doi. org/10.1093/ps/76.5.689
- Balevi T., Uçan U.S., Coşun B., Kurtoglu V., Etingül I.S., 2001. Effect of dietary probiotic on performance and humoral immune response. Br. Poult. Sci. 42, 456–461, https://doi. org/10.1080/00071660120073133
- Carvalho C.L., Andretta I., Galli G.M., Stefanello T.S., Camargo N., Marchiori M., Melchior R., Kipper M., 2022. Effects of dietary probiotic supplementation on egg quality during storage. Poultry 1, 180–192, https://doi.org/10.3390/poultry1030016
- Deng Q., Shi H., Luo Y., Liu N., Deng X., 2020. Dietary lactic acid bacteria modulate yolk components and cholesterol metabolism by Hmgr pathway in laying hens. Braz. J. Poult. Sci. 22, 001–008, https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2020-1261

J.M. Park et al.

- Folch J., Lee M., Sloane-Stanley G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissue. J. Biol. Chem. 226, 497–509, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)64849-5
- Grant A., Gay C.G., Lillehoj H.S., 2018. Bacillus spp. as direct-fed microbial antibiotic alternatives to enhance growth, immunity, and gut health in poultry. Avian Pathol. 47, 339–351, https:// doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2018.1464117
- Haddadin M.S.Y., Abdulrahim S.M., Hashlamoun E.A.R., Robnson R.K., 1996. The effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* on the production and chemical composition of hen's eggs. Poultry Sci. 75, 491–494, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0750491
- Hag Z., Rastogi A., Sharma R.K., Khan N., 2017. Advances in role of organic acids in poultry nutrition: A review. J. Appl. Natural Sci. 9, 2152–2057, https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v9i4.1502
- Huang J., Hao Q., Wang Q., Wang Y., Wan X., Zhou Y., 2019. Supplementation with green tea extract affects lipid metabolism and egg yolk lipid composition in laying hens. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 28, 881–891, https://doi.org/10.3382/japr/ pfz046
- Hy-Line International, 2018. Hy-Line Brown Commercial Management Guide. Hy-Line International, West Des Moines, Iowa (USA)
- Khan S.H., Iqbal J., 2016. Recent advances in the role of organic acids in poultry nutrition. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 44, 359–369, https:// doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2015.1079527
- Mátéová S., Gaálová M., Šály J., Fialkovićová M., 2009. Investigation of the effect of probiotics and potentiated probiotics on productivity of laying hens. Czech J. Anim. Sci. 54, 24–30, https://doi.org/10.17221/1735-CJAS
- Mikulski D., Jankowski J., Naczmanski J., Mikulska M., Demey V., 2012. Effects of dietary probiotic (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) supplementation on performance, nutrient digestibility, egg traits, egg yolk cholesterol, and fatty acid profile in laying hens. Poultry Sci. 91, 2691–2700, https://doi.org/10.3382/ ps.2012-02370
- Moutzouris K.C., Tsirtsikos P., Kalamara E., Nitsch S., Schatzmayr G., Fegeros K., 2007. Evaluation of the efficacy of a probiotic containing *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Enterococcus*, and *Pediococcus* strains in promoting broiler performance and modulating cecal microflora composition and metabolic activities. Poultry Sci. 86, 309–317, https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/86.2.309
- Muleta D.M., 2021. Review on the effect of organic acids on production performance of broilers and layer chickens. Br. J. Poult. Sci. 10, 01–08, https://doi.org/ 10.5829/idosi.bjps.2021.01.08
- Neijat M., Shirley R.B., Barton J., Thiery P, Welsher A., Kiarie E., 2019. Effect of dietary supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* DSM29784 on hen performance, egg quality indices, and apparent retention of dietary components in laying hens from 19 to 48 weeks of age. Poultry Sci. 98, 5622–5635, https://doi. org/10.3382/ps/pez324

NRC, 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. 9th Ed. National Academy Press, Washington DC (USA)

- Rajput I.R., Li L.Y., Xin X., Wu B.B., Juan Z.L., Cui Z.W., Yu D.Y., Li W.F., 2013. Effect of Saccharomyces boulardii and Bacillus subtilis B10 on intestinal ultrastructure modulation and mucosal immunity development mechanism in broiler chickens. Poultry Sci. 92, 956–965, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02845
- Santoso U., Ohtani S., Tanaka K., Sakaida M., 1999. Dried Bacillus subtilis culture reduced ammonia das release in poultry house. Anim. Biosci. 12, 806–809, https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.1999.806
- SAS Institute, 2002. SAS Use's Guide. Version 9.0. Statistical Analysis System Institute Inc., Cary, NC (USA)
- Soltan M.A., 2008. Effect of dietary organic acid supplementation on egg production, egg quality and some blood serum parameters in laying hens. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 7, 613–621, https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2008.613.621
- Świątkiewicz S., Arczewska-Włosek A., Krawczyk J., Puchała M., Józefiak D., 2013. Effect of selected feed additives on the performance of laying hens given a diet rich in maize dried distiller's grains with solubles (DDGS). Br. Poult. Sci. 54, 478–485, https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2013.797563
- Watkins B.A., Kratzer F.H., 1983. Effect of oral dosing of *Lactobacillus* strains on gut colonization and liver biotin in broiler chicks. Poultry Sci. 62, 2088–2094, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0622088
- Xiang Q., Wang C., Zhang H., Lai W., Wei H., Peng J., 2019. Effects of different probiotics on laying performance, egg quality, oxidative status, and gut health in laying hens. Animals 9, 1110, https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121110
- Xu C.L., Ji C., Ma Q., Hao K., Jin Z.Y., Li K., 2006. Effect of a dried Bacillus subtilis culture on egg quality. Poultry Sci. 85, 364–368, https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.2.364
- Yaqoob M.U., Wang G., Wang M., 2022. An uprated review on probiotics as an alternative of antibiotics in poultry - A review. Anim. Biosci. 35, 1109–1120, https://doi.org/10.5713/ ab.21.0485
- Yörük M.A., Gül M., Hayirli A., Macit M., 2004. The effects of supplementation of humate and probiotic on egg production and quality parameters during the late laying period in hens. Poultry Sci. 83, 84–88, https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/83.1.84
- Zhang G., Wang H., Zhang J., et al., 2021. Modulatory effects of *Bacillus subtilis* on the performance, morphology, cecal microbiota and gut barrier function of laying hens. Animals 11, 1523, https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11061523