

# SHORT COMMUNICATION

# The effect of natural and synthetic zeolites on polysaccharidase activity in the rumen of Jersey heifers

M.P. Majewska\*, U. Wolska-Świętlicka, R. Miltko, G. Bełżecki, A. Kędzierska and B. Kowalik

The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Department of Animal Nutrition, Instytucka 3, 05-110 Jabłonna, Poland

**KEY WORDS:** carbohydrate, clinoptilolite, cow, digestion, rumen, ZP4-A

Received: 30 September 2024 Revised: 6 October 2024 Accepted: 8 October 2024

\* Corresponding author:

e-mail: m.majewska@ifzz.pl

ABSTRACT. The aim of the present study was to investigate and compare the effects of different types of zeolites and their contribution in the diet on polysaccharidases activity in the rumen of heifers. Natural and synthetic zeolites and their various amounts (2 and 4% dry matter) were used. The study was performed on 5 rumen-fistulated Jersey heifers in a 5 x 5 Latin square design with 5 dietary treatments (control, 2% natural zeolites - ZN2, 2% synthetic zeolites - ZS2, 4% natural zeolites - ZN4, 4% synthetic zeolites - ZS4) and 5 experimental periods. The samples of ruminal digesta were taken from animals before feeding and 3 h after feeding. The significant interactions of diet and sampling time were shown for cellulolytic (P = 0.005), xylanolytic (P = 0.050) and amylolytic (P = 0.044) activities. The addition of 4% ZN to the diet significantly increased pectinolytic activity in the rumen in comparison to control group (P = 0.035). Interestingly, an upward trend of amylolytic activity has been shown (P = 0.082) in ZN2 group. The incorporation of 2% ZS to the diet significantly increased cellulolytic activity compared to control (P = 0.020) and ZN2 diets (P = 0.002). It can be concluded that zeolites can act in the origin- and dosedependent manner. An increased activity of carbohydrate-digesting enzymes can be related to buffering properties of zeolites, which create favourable conditions for the growth and development of microorganisms. Differences between zeolites action on studied parameters may be derived from their chemical composition and particle size.

#### Introduction

In recent years, more and more attention has been paid to additives of natural origin, which, on the one hand, exert positive impact of animal health and, on the other hand, are safe for the environment (Majewska et al., 2022). Nutritionists and scientists are still looking for an alternative feeds in ruminant nutrition that like traditional one will be effectively utilized by the animal (Miltko et al., 2024a,b).

Zeolites, sometimes also called 'magic stones', are certainly an example of such additives. They are hydrated aluminosilicates with a crystalline

structure, in which tetrahedron is a basic unit (Laurino and Palmieri, 2015). In the spatial network of zeolites, a system of channels and chambers can be distinguished, which determines their physicochemical characteristics. The porous structure, high capacity ('molecular sieves') and the ability to exchange ions have made zeolites widely used in many fields, including biomedical research and agriculture (Simona and Camelia, 2019). Zeolites possess a negatively charged surface and thus can react with positively charged molecules and neutralize them. The process of adsorption of various molecules (including gases) can occur on

both the inner and outer surfaces of the zeolite and depends mainly on the presence of the channels and chambers of specific size (high selectivity) (Smical, 2011). Depending on the origin, natural and synthetic zeolites can be identified. Natural zeolites are minerals formed from volcanic ash under hydrothermal conditions and are characterized by high stability (pH, temperature) and resistance to acids. The synthetic ones can be artificially produced in the autoclaves by applying minerals to high pressure (Laurino and Palmieri, 2015).

Studies on ruminants have shown that zeolites have the ability to bind toxic substances in feed (pesticide residues, mycotoxins) (Ništiar et al., 2000) or compounds formed as a result of the decomposition of feed with high proportion of carbohydrates (McCollum and Galyean, 1983) and protein (Hemken et al., 1983) (prevention of metabolic diseases). An additional advantage of using these additives is their low cost, which makes them attractive to breeders and they can be an alternative to much more expensive plant extracts. Furthermore, zeolites are also considered to be stable in the gastrointestinal tract (Smical, 2011) and environmentally friendly because of decreasing losses of fecal N (Ghoneem et al., 2022).

To our knowledge the effect of zeolites on the population of the gastrointestinal tract of ruminants in the literature is still unknown. The presence of microorganisms is particularly important because digestion of nutrients in rumen occurs with the contribution of enzymes of microbial origin without the involvement of host enzymes. *In vitro* studies have shown that natural zeolite (clinoptilolite) can be colonized by certain groups of bacteria, constitute their 'microhabitat' and thereby influence biological activity of these bacteria (Weiß et al., 2013). Taking into account the specificity of nutrients digestion in ruminants, the characterization of the effect of zeolites on their abundance and activity seems to be important.

Therefore, the hypothesis of the study assumes that zeolites may affect carbohydrate digestion by modifying microorganisms population in the rumen. The aim of the present study was to investigate and compare the effects of different types of zeolites and their contribution in the diet on polysaccharidases activity in the rumen of Jersey heifers. Two types of zeolites (natural *vs* synthetic) and their various amounts (2% and 4% dry matter (DM)) were used in cow nutrition as a model for ruminants.

# Material and methods

All procedures in the present study were approved by the Local Animal Care Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments in Warsaw (Poland); permission no. WAW2/157/2021.

#### Animals and feeding

The experiment was carried out on 5 rumen-fistulated Jersey heifers with an average body weight of 350 kg in a 5 x 5 Latin square design, in which there were 5 dietary treatments and 5 experimental periods (n = 5). Each period lasted 36 days and comprised 14 days of gradual transition to the diet, 21 days of adaptation to the experimental diet and 1 day of sampling. The animals were housed in the enclosure in the individual stalls (width 155 cm, length 262 cm), allowing for constant visual and olfactory contact between individuals. These stands were litter-free and were equipped with rubber mats. The heifers were able to get up and lie down freely. Keeping cows in the individual stalls was essential to ensure control of feed intake as well as rumen fistula status. Cows had constant access to a trough, salt licks and an automatic waterer. The animals were fed twice a day at 7:00 and 15:00 at the household level. The control animals (CON) were fed (kg/day): meadow hay 6, barley meal 0.8, soybean meal 0.2 and the DOL-FOS Dolmix B mineral and vitamin mixture 0.04. Experimental animals also received the addition of natural zeolites (82-86% clinoptilolite, ZeoFEED, ZEOCEM, Slovakia, ZN group) or synthetic zeolites (99% zeolite, ZP-4A, SILKEM, Slovakia, ZS group) in the amount of 2 or 4% per kg DM dose (120 or 240 g/day, respectively) and were divided into four groups: 2% natural zeolites – ZN2, 2% synthetic zeolites – ZS2, 4% natural zeolites – ZN4, 4% synthetic zeolites – ZS4. The crude protein content of tested diets was similar and amounted approximately 10%. Interestingly, clinoptilolite has been classified by the European Union as a dietary supplement for animals (Simona and Camelia, 2019), while zeolite ZP-4A has been approved as a food additive and classified as 'additives other than colours and sweeteners' (E554, according to the manufacturer's information). Daily feed intake was strictly controlled and any appearing orts (if present) were weighed and stored for further analysis.

### Rumen digesta sampling

The solid and liquid fractions of ruminal digesta were collected by hand from the dorsal and ventral

M.P. Majewska et al. 537

sacs of the rumen to obtain representative material. Then, ruminal digesta were precisely mixed, collected to the plastic containers (approximately  $100~\rm g$ ) and stored at  $-24~\rm ^{\circ}C$  for further enzymatic analyses. The ruminal digesta samples were collected before feeding and 3 h after feeding to observe all the changes that occur over the time.

## Chemical analysis of animal diets

Feed samples and orts (if present) were collected during whole experiment for further analyses. The chemical composition of cow diets were analysed according to AOAC International (2011), including DM (934.01), total nitrogen (978.04), crude fat (930.09), neutral detergent fibre (NDF, 2002.04), acid detergent fibre (ADF, 973.18), acid detergent lignin (ADL, 973.18) and crude ash (930.05). The content of the non-fibrous carbohydrate (NFC) was calculated according to NRC (2001) using the following equation:

NFC = 100 – (neutral detergent fibre + crude protein + crude fat + crude ash).

#### **Enzymatic analyses**

The method of determination the enzymatic activity in ruminal digesta was based on the assessment of reducing sugars released from each substrate during incubation with enzyme fraction. The extraction of enzymes from approximately 2 g of ruminal digesta (wet weight) was performed with the presence of 20 ml of 1% phosphate buffer (pH = 6), 2.5 ml of carbon tetrachloride and 1 ml of lysozyme solution (50 mg/ml) according to the method of Miltko et al. (2016). Such suspension was incubated at 37 °C for 3 h to obtain liquid fraction, containing enzymes of microbial origin, from each sample. After extraction was done, the liquid fraction was centrifuged at 11 000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C to clear it from digesta particles residues (if present). The next step was incubation at 37 °C for 1 h with the presence of 200  $\mu$ l of phosphate buffer (pH = 6), 500  $\mu$ l of purified substrates and 100 µl of clear enzyme fraction. In the present study the low-viscosity carboxymethylcellulose (C5678, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), beechwood xylan (X4252, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), pectin from citrus (P9125, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), inulin (Orafti® HPX, BENEO GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) and potato starch (S2004, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) served as substrates for determination cellulolytic, xylanolytic, pectinolytic, inulinolytic and amylolytic activity, respectively. At the end, 1.25 ml of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent (D0550, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to the mixture and heated at 100 °C for 5 min to stop enzymatic reaction. The measurement of reducing sugars were quantified spectrophotometrically at 560 nm and their absorbance was compared with the absorbance of glucose, xylose, galacturonic acid and fructose, respectively (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). The hydrolytic activity of the enzyme fraction was expressed as equivalent of appropriate sugar per g of DM of ruminal digesta per min. To determine the DM of digesta, ruminal samples were dried at 60 °C for 24 h and then at 105 °C for the next 24 h.

# Statistical analysis

The results are presented as means with standard error of mean (SEM). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the normality of data. The homogeneity of variances was also performed using the Levene's test. Data obtained were subjected to repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with diet (CON, ZN2, ZS2, ZN4, ZS4), sampling time (0, 3 h) and their interactions as main effects, followed by Tukey's post-hoc test. To check the correctness of the scheduled experiment, the effect of animal (1-5) and period (I-V) on results obtained were also verified. The significance between means were assumed at  $P \le 0.05$  and trends at 0.05 < P < 0.10 (StatSoft®, Cracow, Poland).

### **Results and discussion**

The composition of cow diets is presented in Table 1. Dry matter intake ranged from 6 184 (ZS4 group) to 6 487 g/day (ZN4 group), depending on dietary treatment. Other ingredients intake were similar in all dietary treatments excluding ZS4 group, in which the orts constituted 5.23% of the total dose. For comparison, orts in other experimental groups were as followed (%): 1, 0.78 and 0.51 for ZN2, ZN4 and ZS2 diets, respectively. Deterioration of feed intake by cows from ZS4 group may be due to too high contribution of synthetic zeolites in a diet.

Table 2 presents the polysaccharidase activity in the ruminal digesta of Jersey heifers.

The statistical analysis showed that both animal  $(1-5, P_A)$  and experimental period  $(I-V, P_p)$  did not affect obtained results for cellulolytic  $(P_A = 0.727; P_p = 0.395)$ , xylanolytic  $(P_A = 0.692; P_p = 0.111)$ , pectinolytic  $(P_A = 0.200; P_p = 0.760)$ , inulinolytic  $(P_A = 0.723; P_p = 0.837)$  and amylolytic  $(P_A = 0.647; P_p = 0.598)$  activities. The significant interaction of

Table 1. Composition of cow diets

Item	Control	Natural z (ZN)	zeolites	Synthetic zeolites (ZS)						
		ZN2	ZN4	ZS2	ZS4					
Components, g/k										
meadow hay	5397	5397	5397	5397	5397					
barley meal	698	698	698	698	698					
soybean meal	178	178	178	178	178					
Dolfos1	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0					
ZeoFEED	-	113	226	-	-					
ZP-4A	-	-	-	107	214					
Chemical composition, g/kg DM										
DM	897	897	898	896	896					
crude protein <sup>2</sup>	94.3	92.7	91.2	92.7	91.2					
crude fat	23.0	22.6	22.2	22.6	22.2					
crude ash	37.6	37.0	36.3	37.0	36.3					
crude fibre	271	266	262	266	262					
NDF	599	588	579	588	579					
ADF	352	346	340	346	340					
ADL	59.9	58.9	57.9	58.9	57.9					
NFC	241	237	233	237	233					
Nutrient intake, g/day										
DM	6312	6361	6487	6385	6184					
crude protein	664	657	659	661	629					
crude fat	162	160	161	161	154					
crude ash	265	262	263	264	251					
crude fibre	1905	1886	1890	1895	1805					
NDF	4214	4172	4181	4193	3994					
ADF	2478	2453	2459	2465	2348					
ADL	422	418	419	420	400					
NFC	1697	1680	1684	1684	1608					

DM – dry matter, NDF – neutral detergent fibre, ADF – acid detergent fibre, ADL – acid detergent lignin, NFC – non-fibrous carbohydrate; ZeoFEED – natural zeolite, ZP-4A – synthetic zeolite, Zn2 – 2% of natural zeolites, ZN4 – 4% of natural zeolites, ZS2 – 2% of synthetic zeolites, ZS4 – 4% of synthetic zeolites;  $^1$  Dolfos DOLMIX B consisted of: calcium carbonate, sodium chloride, calcium-magnesium carbonate, mono-calcium phosphate, magnesium oxide, glycerol; UI: vit. A 700 000, vit. D $_{\!_3}$  140 000; mg: vit. E 1 650, niacin 500, DL- $\alpha$ -tocopherol 1 500, trace elements, mg: copper 30, manganese 60, zinc 1000, selenium 30; g: sulphur 5.5, calcium 253, sodium 80, magnesium 30, phosphorus 10;  $^2$  expressed as N x 6.25

both experimental factors (diet and sampling time) was shown for cellulolytic (P = 0.005), xylanolytic (P = 0.050) and amylolytic (P = 0.044) activities. In terms of sampling time, the inclusion of 2% ZS to cow diets increased cellulolytic (P = 0.015) and amylolytic (P = 0.048) activities 3 h after feeding in comparison to samples collected before feeding. Similarly, the addition of ZN at low and high doses to cow diets increased inulinolytic (P = 0.029) and amylolytic (P = 0.002) activities in the rumen after feeding, respectively.

Generally it was shown that dietary treatment significantly increased enzymes activity 3 h after feeding. The exception was only reduced amylolytic

activity before feeding in heifers receiving 4% ZN in the diets in comparison to control animals (P = 0.069).

The addition of 4% ZN to the diet significantly increased pectinolytic activity in the rumen in comparison to control group (P = 0.035). Interestingly, when smaller dose of ZN was used, an upward trend of amylolytic activity has been shown (P = 0.082). On the other hand, the incorporation of 2% ZS to animal diets significantly increased cellulolytic activity compared to control (P = 0.020) and ZN2 diets (P = 0.002). Comparing both types of zeolites used in the present study, the decreased inulinolytic activity in the rumen of ZS2 heifers in comparison to ZN2 (P = 0.009) and control groups (P = 0.083) was observed.

Natural and synthetic zeolites significantly affected microbial enzymes digesting both structural (cellulose, pectin) and soluble (amylose, inulin) carbohydrates. In the present study the action of zeolites on polysaccharidases seems to be origin- and dose-dependent. Higher activity of these enzymes in zeolite-supplemented cows was probably related to their buffering capacity, which can be associated with the presence of aluminium silicate in their structure (Khachlouf et al., 2018). Interestingly, bacterial cell walls are negatively charged under physiological conditions, which allows for interacting with cations present on the surface of zeolites (Guo et al., 2011). Therefore, zeolites may stabilize rumen pH, provide necessary cations for the activity of specific bacteria and, in consequence, enhanced nutrients digestion (Mahdavirad et al., 2021). Due to their ion chelating properties (connected with the presence of iron and zinc), these compounds can significantly influence the basic biochemical transformation occurring in bacterial cells (Trckova et al., 2004). Zeolites can also improve fibre digestion by gradual releasing ammonia due to ion exchange across the sodium and potassium (Ural and Ural, 2017). The role of protozoa in the digestion of nutrients in the rumen should not be omitted, because they are also able to digest structural and soluble carbohydrates. However, the effect of zeolites on the protozoa population is still unknown.

The results of the current study are in agreement with Galindo et al. (1990), who noted that *in vitro* study on zeolites increased cellulolytic activity of microorganisms without any effect on haemicellulolitic activity. Furthermore, the study of Kardaya et al. (2023) showed that both Indonesia's natural zeolites and urea-impregnated zeolites added to sheep diet improved the digestibility

M.P. Majewska et al. 539

Table 2. Polysaccharidase activity in the ruminal digesta of Jersey heifers, µM released sugar/g DM/min

Enzyme activity	Diet (D)	Sampling ti	Sampling time (T)		CEM	P-value	P-value		
		0h	3h	— Mean <sub>D</sub>	$SEM_{D}$	D	T	D x T interaction	
	Control	14.1	11.3 <sup>A</sup>	12.7	1.055	0.020	0.015	0.005	
	ZN2	12.8	9.44 <sup>A</sup>	11.1	1.027				
	ZS2	10.3 <sub>x</sub>	16.7 <sup>B</sup> <sub>Y</sub>	13.5	1.451				
	ZN4	16.3	13.3 <sup>AB</sup>	14.8	1.019				
	ZS4	11.5	13.4 <sup>AB</sup>	12.5	0.964				
	$Mean_{\scriptscriptstyle{T}}$	13.0	12.8						
	$SEM_{\scriptscriptstyleT}$	0.775	0.677						
Xylanolytic <sup>2</sup>	Control	38.6	36.0	37.3	0.974	0.645	0.505	0.050	
	ZN2	31.4	36.3	33.9	1.620				
	ZS2	34.7	35.9	35.3	1.041				
	ZN4	36.5	35.3	35.9	1.723				
	ZS4	38.5	32.6	35.5	1.687				
	$Mean_{\scriptscriptstyle{ au}}$	35.9	35.2						
	SEM <sub>T</sub>	1.005	0.807						
Pectinolytic <sup>3</sup>	Control	2.78	2.76 <sup>A</sup>	2.77	0.125	0.035	0.098	0.529	
	ZN2	2.85	3.21 <sup>AB</sup>	3.03	0.180				
	ZS2	2.73	2.86 <sup>AB*</sup>	2.79	0.126				
	ZN4	3.03	3.55 <sup>B*</sup>	3.29	0.185				
	ZS4	2.97	2.98 <sup>AB</sup>	2.98	0.190				
	Mean <sub>⊤</sub>	2.87	3.07						
	SEM <sub>T</sub>	0.117	0.092						
Inulinolytic <sup>4</sup>	Control	2.81	3.51 <sup>AB*</sup>	3.16	0.234	0.024	0.029	0.500	
	ZN2	2.79 <sub>x</sub>	3.97 <sup>B</sup> <sub>Y</sub>	3.38	0.252				
	ZS2	2.22	2.31 <sup>A*</sup>	2.27	0.159				
	ZN4	2.81	3.19 <sup>AB</sup>	3.00	0.318				
	ZS4	2.98	3.15 <sup>AB</sup>	3.07	0.220				
	$Mean_{\scriptscriptstyle{ op}}$	2.72	3.22						
	SEM <sub>T</sub>	0.150	0.168						
Amylolytic <sup>5</sup>	Control	4.40*	3.46*	3.93	0.302	0.282	0.002	0.044	
	ZN2	3.64	5.49*	4.57	0.507				
	ZS2	3.32 <sub>x</sub>	5.26 <sub>Y</sub>	4.29	0.508				
	ZN4	2.84 <sub>x</sub> *	4.83 <sub>v</sub>	3.84	0.391				
	ZS4	4.08	4.94	4.51	0.262				
	$Mean_{\scriptscriptstyle{ au}}$	3.66	4.80						
	SEM,	0.195	0.258						

D – effect of diet, T – effect of sampling time, D x T – diet and sampling time interaction effect, Zn2 – 2% of natural zeolites, ZN4 – 4% of natural zeolites, ZS2 – 2% of synthetic zeolites, ZS4 – 4% of synthetic zeolites, DM – dry matter; SEM – standard error of the mean; <sup>1</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>2</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>3</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>5</sup> expressed  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>5</sup> expressed  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>5</sup> expressed  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed  $\mu$ M glucose released/g DM/min; <sup>6</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>7</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>7</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g DM/min; <sup>8</sup> expressed as  $\mu$ M fructose released/g

of DM, organic matter (OM), NDF, ADF and haemicellulose as well as body weight gain and feed efficiency. Increased fibre digestion was also obtained by Ghoneem et al. (2022), when 2% natural zeolites were added to lamb diets. On the other hand, McCollum and Galyean (1983) showed that 1.5% clinoptilolite supplemented to beef steers' highgrain diet increased starch digestion and modified

rumen fermentation by increasing concentration of propionate. Similar dependencies were noted in the present study, when lower dose of ZN was added to cow diets. According to Valpotić et al. (2017), both natural and synthetic zeolites may affect energy metabolism due to changes in rumen fermentation and molar proportion of short-chain fatty acids. Our unpublished data showed that higher doses

of both types of zeolites increased concentrations of acetate and propionic acids, which supports increased polysaccharidase activity observed in the present study.

The various effect of natural and synthetic zeolites on enzyme activity can be derived from different chemical composition, which can determine their physicochemical properties. Clinoptilolite, as an example of natural zeolite used in this study contained 62% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 14% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 2.3% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 5.5% CaO, while ZP-4A (synthetic zeolite) contained 17–19% Na<sub>2</sub>O, 28–30% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 31–34% SiO<sub>2</sub> (according to the manufacturer's information). The particle size of the zeolites used also seemed to be important (200 μm for clinoptilolite vs 3–5 μm for ZP-4A), because it could strongly affect their selectivity to adsorbate molecules (Bacakova et al., 2018). In the study of Hrenović et al. (2008), the number of immobilised cells of Acinetobacter junii (phosphate-accumulating bacteria) were higher in zeolites with smaller particle size, which in consequence increased their activity. Klaeuli et al. (2020) showed that digestibility of organic matter was higher, when clinoptilolite of 30 µm particle size was supplemented to backgrounding cattle than that of 400 µm. Similarly, the study of El-Nile et al. (2021) on goats showed that transformation of natural zeolites to the nano-size has enhanced their physicochemical properties, reduced concentration of NH<sub>2</sub>-N and production of CH<sub>4</sub> without any detrimental effects on nutrients digestibility.

#### **Conclusions**

The results of the present study showed that zeolites can act in the origin- and dose-dependent manner. Low dose of natural zeolites increased amylolytic activity, while its higher dose significantly increased pectinolytic activity in the rumen. On the other hand, in cows receiving low dose of synthetic zeolites, higher cellulolytic activity was observed. An increased activity of carbohydrate-digesting enzymes can be related to buffering properties of zeolites, which create favourable conditions for the growth and development of microorganisms. All occurring differences between zeolites action on studied parameters may be derived from their chemical composition and particle size, which can significantly determine physicochemical properties of these compounds.

So, it is recommended to use up to 2% zeolites of both types in cow diets to improve microbial enzymes activity digesting both structural and soluble carbohydrates. The higher dose of synthetic zeolites

(4%) incorporated to the diet, seemed to be less effective and profitable due to reduced feed intake. However, further studies on a larger number of animals are necessary to fully understand the mechanisms of actions of zeolites on microorganisms population and digestive processes in ruminants.

# **Funding**

This research was funded by National Science Centre in Poland as a part of the Miniatura 6 project entitled 'The role of natural and synthetic zeolites in the methanogenesis process in ruminants. Preliminary *in vivo* studies on the cow model' (grant no. 2022/06/X/NZ9/00385), which enabled to conduct an experiment on animals. The analysis of the enzyme activity was supported by the statutory funding of The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland.

#### Conflict of interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- AOAC International, 2011. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 18th edition. Arlington, VA
- Bacakova L., Vandrovcova M., Kopova I., Jirka I., 2018. Applications of zeolites in biotechnology and medicine - a review. Biomater. Sci. 6, 974–989, https://doi.org/10.1039/C8BM00028J
- El-Nile A., Elazab M., El-Zaiat H., El-Azrak K.E.-D., Elkomy A., Sallam S., Soltan Y., 2021. In vitro and in vivo assessment of dietary supplementation of both natural or nano-zeolite in goat diets: Effects on ruminal fermentation and nutrients digestibility. Animals 11, 2215, https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082215
- Galindo J., Elías A., Piedra R., Lezcano O., 1990. The effect of some zeolite components on the rumen microbial activity of silage diets. Cub. J. Agric. Sci. 24, 187–194
- Ghoneem W.M.A., El-Tanany R.R., Mahmoud A.E.M., 2022. Effect of natural zeolite as a rumen buffer on growth performance and nitrogen utilization of Barki lambs. Pakistan J. Zool. 54, 1199–1207, https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.piz/20191207121206
- Guo T., Cao S., Su R., Li Z., Hu P., Xu Z., 2011. Adsorptive property of Cu2+-loaded montmorillonite clays for Escherichia coli K88 in vitro. J. Environ. Sci. 23, 1808-1815, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60651-1
- Hemken R.W., et al., 1983. In Pond W.G. and Mumpton F.A. Zeo-Agriculture: Use of natural zeolite in agriculture and aquaculture, Westview Press, 1st Edition, pp. 171–176
- Hrenović J., Tibljaš D., Sekovanić L., Ivanković T., Rožić M., 2008. Effect of natural and synthetic zeolites on bacteria. Proceedings of the I Croatian Symposium on Zeolites, Zagreb, pp. 33–37

M.P. Majewska et al. 541

Kardaya D., Sudrajat D., Wahyuni D., 2023. Performance of local sheep fed diets containing urea-impregnated zeolite. J. Anim. Health Prod. 11, 199–205, https://doi.org/10.17582/journal. jahp/2023/11.2.199.205

- Khachlouf K., Hamed H., Gdoura R., Gargouri A., 2018. Effects of zeolite supplementation on dairy cow production and ruminal parameters - a review. Ann. Anim. Sci. 18, 857–877, https:// doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2018-0025
- Klaeui C., de Haro Marti M.E., Chahine M., Chibisa G.E., 2020. Altering the particle size of supplemental zeolite (clinoptilolite): effects on nitrogen utilization and nutrient digestibility in backgrounding cattle. Transl. Anim. Sci. 4, S132–S136, https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txaa120
- Laurino C., Palmieri B., 2015. Zeolite: "the magic stone"; main nutritional, environmental, experimental and clinical fields of application. Nutr. Hosp. 32, 573–581, https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2015.32.2.8914
- Mahdavirad N., Chaji M., Bojarpour M., Dehghanbanadaky M., 2021.

  Comparison of the effect of sodium bicarbonate, sodium sesquicarbonate, and zeolite as rumen buffers on apparent digestibility, growth performance, and rumen fermentation parameters of Arabi lambs. Tropic. Anim. Health Prod. 53, 465, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-021-02909-7
- Majewska M.P., Kędzierska A., Miltko R., Bełżecki G., Kowalik B., 2022.

  Does humate supplementation affect ciliate population and fermentation parameters in the sheep rumen? J. Anim. Feed Sci. 31, 371–378, https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/153957/2022
- McCollum F.T., Galyean M.L., 1983. Effects of clinoptilolite on rumen fermentation, digestion and feedlot performance in beef steers fed high concentrate diets. J. Anim. Sci. 56, 517–524, https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1983.563517x
- Miltko R., Belżecki G., Kowalik B., Skomiał J., 2016. Presence of carbohydrate-digesting enzymes throughout the digestive tract of sheep. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 40, 271–277, https:// doi.org/10.3906/vet-1507-70
- Miltko R., Majewska M.P., Wojtak W., Białek M., Kowalik B., Czauderna M., 2024a. Comparing the chemical composition of lesser duckweed (*Lemna minor* L.) grown in natural and laboratory settings. J. Anim. Feed Sci. 33, 357–367, https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/189963/2024

Miltko R., Majewska M.P., Frączek N., Kowalik B., 2024b. Evaluation of the biochemical composition of the greater duckweed *Spirodela polyrhiza* (L. Schleiden). J. Anim. Feed Sci. 33, 542–546, https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/193033/2024

- Ništiar F., Mojžiš J., Kovác G., Seidel H., Rácz O., 2000. Influence of intoxication with organophosphates on rumen bacteria and rumen protozoa and protective effect of clinoptiloliterich zeolite on bacterial and protozoan concentration in rumen. Folia Microbiol. 45, 567–571, https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02818728
- NRC, 2001. Nutrient Requirement of Dairy Cattle. National Research Council. 7th revised Edition. National Academy Press, Washington, DC
- Simona M., Camelia T., 2019. Zeolites applications in veterinary medicine. In: Zeolites New Challenges. IntechOpen, pp. 1–16, https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.87969
- Smical I., 2011. Properties of natural zeolites in benefit of nutrition and health. HVM Bioflux 3, 51–57
- Trckova M., Matlova L., Dvorska L., Pavlik I., 2004. Kaolin, bentonite, and zeolites as feed supplements for animals: health advantages and risks. Vet. Med.-Czech 49(10), 389–399, https://doi.org/10.17221/5728-VETMED
- Ural D.A., Ural K., 2017. Effects of short term clinoptilolite supplementation on weight gain in Holstein calves. Rev. MVZ Córdoba 22, 5631–5637, https://doi.org/10.21897/rmvz.923
- Valpotić H., Gračner D., Turk R. et al., 2017. Zeolite clinoptilolite nanoporous feed additive for animals of veterinary importance: Potentials and limitations. Period. Biol. 119, 159–172, https://doi.org/10.18054/pb.v119i3.5434
- Weiß S., Lebuhn M., Andrade D., Zankel A., Cardinale M., Birner-Gruenberger R., Somitsch W., Ueberbacher B.J., Guebitz G.M., 2013. Activated zeolite suitable carriers for microorganisms in anaerobic digestion processes? Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 3225–3238, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-4691-6