

## INTRODUCTION TO THE ROUND TABLE DISCUSSION

### Is the use of antimicrobial drugs in agriculture risky for human health?

**W. Taljański-Zygmunt<sup>1</sup>, Elżbieta Grzesiuk<sup>2</sup>, R. Zabielski<sup>3</sup>  
and S.G. Pierzynowski<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Public Health, and Department of Pharmacology,  
National Research Institute of Mother and Child  
Kasprzaka 17A, 01-211 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences  
Pawieńskiego 5A, 02-106 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Animal Physiology, Warsaw Agricultural University  
Nowoursynowska 166, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Animal Physiology, Lund University  
SE -22362 Lund, Sweden*

In 1997 a network of Polish and Swedish research centres\* was established within the "Visby program" (380/4968/19979 no 39) entitled ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF ANIMAL BREEDING, FOOD PRODUCTION AND HUMAN/VETERINARY MEDICINE.

The intention of the network is to establish an interdisciplinary team of researchers integrating basic research between animal production, ecology, biology and human/veterinary medicine. The additional aims of the programme are to develop new methods and indexes for evaluation of human/animal health impact on the environment. Our idea was to reevaluate the application of feed additives in the aspect of agricultural environment, and human and animal health. Discussion over the use of feed antibiotics seemed to be very up-to-date. Sweden and Denmark have already accumulated considerable experience in managing an "antibiotic free" animal production. In other EU countries there is an increasing debate

about the "to be or not to be" for the feed antibiotics. The Central European countries face the problem of uncontrolled use of feed antibiotics. Numerous feed additives have been suggested, which may be suitable to replace feed antibiotics without reducing the high animal performance. Intensive research in this area is warranted. Some alternatives to feed antibiotics, their advantages and their drawbacks, will be discussed during the Satellite Symposium (IV) to the 49th EAAP Annual Meeting and the Round Table Discussion held August 22 and 23, 1998 in Jablonna near Warsaw.

Antibiotics were approved for use as animal feed additives in 1950 after it was discovered that their use increases animal growth rate, improves feed utilization, and reduces animal mortality and morbidity caused by clinical and subclinical infections. More antibiotics have been used in this manner than in medical applications. In 1969 the Swann report declared that antibiotics of medical importance and those which promote cross-resistance should not be used as growth promoters in animal feeds. Recently, legislation limiting the subtherapeutic use of antibiotics in animal feed has been introduced in some countries. The prevailing use of subtherapeutic doses of antibiotics in animal feed has been responsible for spreading bacterial antibiotic resistance, which ultimately compromises treatment of human bacterial infections. Prolonged oral or parenteral administration of antibiotics has led to the development of resistant strains of microorganisms. Bacteria acquire drug resistance in several ways: through mutagenesis, conjugation, transduction or transfection. Oral antibiotics facilitate the proliferation of resistant variants of bacteria through the process of selection pressure. Bacteria are capable of transferring this drug resistance to other bacteria by spreading plasmid DNA. This can lead to multiple resistance to a vast number of therapeutically useful antibiotics which will, therefore, become ineffective. Transferable multiple drug resistance (MDR) can occur through drug resistance elements (plasmids and transposons) and can be transferred between pathogenic and non-pathogenic organism. It is also transferable between different species, such as *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Shigella*. Contamination during slaughter may result in the transfer of antibiotic resistant *E. coli* strains. In the human gut, these strains could transfer resistance to other bacteria existing in the gut, namely *E. coli* or *Salmonella* strains.

Antibiotic-resistant coliforms have been isolated from the fresh and cooked meat. Many studies indicate that animal-to-man transmission of antibiotic resistant bacteria is possible, and indeed increased levels of drug-resistant organisms have been found in farmers, butchers, etc.

In 1996 the European Committee for Animal Nutrition (SCAN) approved the continued use of avoparcin as a feed additive for farm animals (European Com-

mission suspended the license of avoparcin from April 1997). Avoparcin, a glycopeptide antibiotic, is an analogue of vancomycin and as such it has the same mode of action. It exerts selection pressure for cross-resistance to vancomycin and similar antibiotics in human associated bacteria.

Furthermore, vancomycin-resistant enterococci can invade the human population from the food chain. It is a concern that vancomycin-resistant enterococci have been isolated from samples of minced meat from a number of different butchers, as well as from faecal samples of people living in the same area in Germany in which avoparcin has been commonly used in agriculture. It is possible that the enterococci of animal origin became resistant to these glycopeptides due to the use of avoparcin in animal feed. Vancomycin resistance in Gram-positive bacteria is still one of the most serious problems currently encountered in European hospitals because in many cases vancomycin is the only antibiotic left which is effective against MDR strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. In 1995, in the UK, *Salmonella typhimurium* phage type DT 104, was found to be endemic in cattle, pigs, sheep, and poultry. More than 3500 human isolates of *Salmonella typhimurium* DT 104 have been obtained of which 98.9% of the isolates were MDR. The risk factors for infection includes sausages, chicken and burger consumption. Resistance to quinolones has emerged among human isolates of *Salmonella* species. In 1995, 3.5% of *Salmonella typhimurium*, 3.9% of *Salmonella virchow*, and 31.1% of *Salmonella hadar* strains were resistant to quinolones. Approximately 80% of *E. coli* isolates from pigs and calves treated with antimicrobial drugs are resistant to more than one antibiotic. These observations lead to the conclusion that the use of similar antibiotics in human medicine (e.g. the quinolones – enrofloxacin or glycopeptides – avoparcin) as animal husbandry creates a selective pressure that encourages the emergence and persistence of resistant strains of bacteria in animal products and may eventually lead to their epidemic spread in man. The presence of antibiotic residues in meat, milk and their products is potentially hazardous for man, especially for children and their ingestion has resulted in allergic skin conditions, nausea, vomiting, anaphylactic shock. Cooking and freezing have minimal, if any, effect on residues.

Facing the problems listed above, one can ask about the rationale for continuing with subtherapeutical doses of antibiotics in animal nutrition. Two effects of feed antibiotics appear to be still very attractive in animal production: 1. reduction of growth of potentially pathogenic gastrointestinal bacteria and 2. stimulation of the release of the endogenous growth factors (e.g. insulin-like growth factor). These growth factors are crucial for the early weaned animals since supply of the animals with bioactive substances in milk is finished during weaning; however, the mechanism by which the antibiotics stimulate growth factors remains unclear.

It is suggested that there are at present several promising approaches to improve the function of the intestine which may overwhelm the roles played by feed antibiotics. As discussed in the papers in this issue, supplementation with glutamine and its derivatives, biologically active peptides, immunoglobulins, or organic acids may improve intestinal function without having the negative consequences of feed antibiotics.

---

\* Participants of the Visby project and associated researchers:

Pierzynowski S.G.<sup>1</sup> (Project Coordinator), Zabielski R.<sup>2</sup>, Taljanski-Zygmunt W.<sup>3</sup>, Piekarczyk A.<sup>3</sup>, Grzesiuk E.<sup>4</sup>, Laubitz D.<sup>4</sup>, Opaliński K.<sup>5</sup>, Uchmański J.<sup>5</sup>, Niemiłatowaki M.<sup>6</sup>, Gieryńska M.<sup>6</sup>, Drobnik B.<sup>6</sup>, Gacsalyi U.<sup>2</sup>, Pierzynowska J.<sup>7</sup>, Żebrowska T.<sup>8</sup>, Karlsson B.<sup>1</sup>, Weström B.<sup>1</sup>, Wadström T.<sup>9</sup>, Buddington R.<sup>10</sup>, Gregory P.<sup>11</sup>, Jakobsen K.<sup>12</sup>, Piva A.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Physiology, Lund University, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Physiology, Warsaw Agricultural University, Poland; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, and Department of Pharmacology, National Research Institute of Mother and Child, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>5</sup>Department of Ecological Bioenergetics, Institute of Ecology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>6</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Warsaw Agricultural University, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>7</sup>Department of Human Nutrition, Warsaw Agricultural University, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>8</sup>The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland; <sup>9</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Lund University, Sweden; <sup>10</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Mississippi State Univ, MS, USA; <sup>11</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Hannover, Germany; <sup>12</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Foulum, Denmark; <sup>13</sup>Department of Veterinary Morphophysiology and Animal Production, University of Bologna, Italy

#### SUGGESTED READING:

- Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens (ACDP), 1997. Seminar on microbiological risk assessment, 28 January 1997. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 83, 659-664
- Ahrne S., Franklin A., 1997. Animal husbandry and food handling today. Current food preservation has changed the intestinal flora. *Lakartidningen* 94, 3493-3495

- Altekruse S.F., Cohen M.L., Swerdlow D.L., 1997. Emerging foodborne diseases. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 3, 285-293
- Bates J., 1997. Epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in the community and the relevance of farm animals to human infection. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 37, 89-101
- Bates J., Jordens J.Z., Griffiths D.T., 1994. Farm animals as a putative reservoir for vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infection in man. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 34, 507-514
- Bergogne-Berezin E., 1997. Who or what is the source of antibiotic resistance?. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 46, 461-464
- Black W.D., 1984. The use of antimicrobial drugs in agriculture. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 62, 1044-1048
- Donnelly J.P., Voss A., Witte W., Murray B.F., 1994, 1996. Does the use in animals of antimicrobial agents, including glycopeptide antibiotics, influence the efficacy of antimicrobial therapy in humans? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 34, 507-514; 37, 389-392
- DuPont H.L., Steele J.H., 1987. Use of antimicrobial agents in animal feeds: implications for human health. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 9, 447-460
- DuPont H.L., 1998. The human health implication of the use of antimicrobial agents in animal feeds. *Vet. Quart.* 9, 309-320
- Feinman S.E., 1998. Antibiotics in animal feed – drug resistance revisited. *ASM News* 64, 24-30
- Gustafson R.H., 1993. Historical perspectives on regulatory issues of antimicrobial resistance. *Vet. Hum. Toxicol.* 35, Suppl 1, 2-5
- Gustafson R.H., 1991. Use of antibiotics in livestock and human health concerns. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 1428-32
- Haapapuro E.R., Barnard N.D., 1997. Simon M: view-animal waste used as livestock feed: dangers to human health. *Prevent. Med.* 26, 599-602
- Hathaway M.R., Dayton W.R., White M.E., Henderson T.L., Henningston T.B., 1996. Serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) concentrations are increased in pigs fed antimicrobials. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74, 1541-1547
- Hedges A.J., Linton A.H., 1988. Olaquinox resistance in the coliform flora of pigs and their environment: an ecological study. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 64, 429-443
- Herikstad H., Hayes P., Mokhtar M., Fracaro M.L., Threlfall E.J., Angulo F.J., 1997. Emerging quinolone -resistant *Salmonella* in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 3, 371-372
- Howarth F., Poulter D., 1996. Vancomycin resistance: time to ban avoparcin. *Lancet* 347, 1047
- Kidd A.R., 1993. European perspectives on the regulation of antimicrobial drugs. *Vet. Human Toxicol.* 35, Suppl. 1, 6-9
- Kirk M., Hill R.L., Casewell M.W., Beighton D., 1997. Isolation of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from supermarket poultry. *Advan. Exp. Med. Biol.* 418, 289-291
- Klare I., Heier H., Claus H., Bohme G., Marin S., Seltmann G., Hakenbeck R., Antanassova V., Witte W., 1995. *Enterococcus faecium* strains with vanA-mediated high-level glycopeptide resistance isolated from animal foodstuffs and fecal samples of humans in the community. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 1, 265-272
- Langlois B.E., Cromwell G.L., Stahly T.S., Dawson K.A., Hays V.W., 1983. Antibiotic resistance of fecal coliforms after long-term withdrawal of therapeutic and subtherapeutic antibiotic use in a swine herd. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 46, 1433-1434
- LeClerc J.E., Li B., Payne W.L., Cebula T.A., 1996, 1997. High mutation frequencies among *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* pathogens. *Comment Sci.* 15, 274, 1081; 277, 1833-1834
- LeClerc J.E., Li B., Payne W.L., Cebula T.A., 1996. *Science* 274, 1208-1211
- Levy S.B., 1985. Man, animals and antibiotic resistance. *Pediat. Infect. Dis.* 4, 3-5

- Levy S.B., 1985. Antibiotics in animals [letter]. *Nature* 313, 344
- Linton A.H., 1986. Flow of resistance genes in the environment and from animals to man. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 18, Suppl. C, 189-197
- Linton A.H., Hedges A.J., Bennett P.M., 1988. Monitoring for the development of antimicrobial resistance during the use of olaquinox as a feed additive on commercial pig farms. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 64, 311-327
- McCannell J., 1996. Risk of untreatable infection is growing. *Lancet* 347, 1471
- McDonald L.C., Kuehnert M.J., Tenover F.C., Jarvis W.R., 1997. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci outside the health-care setting: prevalence, sources, and public health implications. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 3, 311-317
- McEvoy J.D., Crooks S.R., Elliott C.T., McCaughey W.J., Kennedy D.G., 1995. Influence of environmental contamination of a pig unit on chlortetracycline residues in pig tissues. *Vet. Rec.* 136, 613-614
- Nagaraja T.G., Taylor M.B., 1987. Susceptibility and resistance of ruminal bacteria to antimicrobial feed additives. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53, 1620-1625
- Nijsten R., London N., van den Bogaard A., Stobberingh E., 1996. Antibiotic resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolated from faecal samples of pig farmers and pigs. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 37, 1131-1140
- Nilsson-Ehle I., Cars O., 1994. "Restrict the indications for macrolide therapy." Overuse is an ecological risk. *Lakartidningen.* 91, 4363-4365
- Ojeniyi A.A., 1989. Drug enrichment of commercial poultry feeds and human health in the tropical developing countries. *Acta Vet. Scand.* 30, 133-139
- Ozanne G., Bedard P., Ducic S., Panisset J.C., 1987. Antibiotic multiresistance among coliforms isolated from the gut of swine and abattoir workers: evidence of transfer from animal to man. *Can. J. Publ. Health* 78, 340-4
- Parsonnet K.C., Kass E.H., 1987. Does prolonged exposure to antibiotic-resistant bacteria increase the rate of antibiotic-resistant infection? *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 31, 911-914
- Perreten V., Schwarz F., Cresta L., Boeglin M., Dasen G., Teuber M., 1997. Antibiotic resistance spread in food. *Nature* 389, 801-802
- Report from the Commission on Antimicrobial Feed Additives. *Antimicrobial Feed Additives. Government Official Reports (SOU).* 1997. Ministry of Agriculture. Stockholm, Vol. 132, 1-120
- Russell A. D., 1991. Mechanisms of bacterial resistance to non-antibiotics: food additives and food and pharmaceutical preservatives. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 71, 191-201
- Salyers A., 1996, 1997. The real threat from antibiotics. *Nature* 383, 559; 384, 304; 385, 290
- Sun M., 1984. Antibiotics and animal feed: a smoking gun. *Science* 225, 1375
- Thal L.A., Chow J.W., Mahayni R., Bonilla H., Perri M.B., Donabedian S.A., Silverman J., Taber S., Zervos M.J., 1995. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance in enterococci of animal origin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 39, 2112-2115
- Thomke S., Elwinger K., 1997. Growth promotants in feeding pigs and poultry – a review. *J. Swedish Acad. Agric. Forest.* 136, 19, 1-65
- Thomke S., 1998. Growth promotants in feeding pigs and poultry: a review. I. Growth and feed efficiency responses to antibiotic growth promotants. *Ann. Zootech.* 47, 2
- Thomke S., 1998. Growth promotants in feeding pigs and poultry: a review. II. Mode of action of antibiotic growth promotants. *Ann. Zootech.* 47, 3
- Threlfall E.J., 1992. Antibiotics and the selection of food-borne pathogens. *Soc. Appl. Bacteriol., Symp. Ser.* 21, 96S-102S
- van Gool S., 1993. Possible effects on the environment of antibiotic residues in animal manure. *Tijdschrift voor Diergeneeskunde.* 118, 8-10

van Logtestijn J.G., Urlings B.A., Bijker P.G., Huis in 't Veld J.H., 1993. Interruption of bacterial cycles in animal production: related to veterinary public health. *Vet. Quart.* 15, 123-125

Weber D.J., Rutala W.A., 1997. Role of environmental contamination in the transmission of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 18, 306-309, 345-347

Wegener H.C., Madsen M., Nielsen N., Aarestrup F.M., 1997. Isolation of vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from food. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 35, 57-66

Wiedemann B., 1993. Monitoring of resistant organisms in man and identification of their origin. *Vet. Microbiol.* 35, 275-284

Wise R., 1996. Avoparcin and animal feedstuff. *Lancet* 347, 1835

Witte W., 1998. Medical consequences of antibiotic use in agriculture. *Science* 279, 996-997

Wray C., Beedell Y.E., McLaren I.M., 1991. A survey of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonellae* isolated from animals in England and Wales during 1984-1987. *Brit. Vet. J.* 147, 356-69